UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,616	06/13/2007	Wilfried Maier	1401D-005 (CI0151/A-US)	9956
	7590 12/22/200 THENNISCH PC	EXAMINER		
29 W LAWREN		NGUYEN, PHONG H		
SUITE 210 PONTIAC, MI	48342		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	,		3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/587,616	MAIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PHONG H. NGUYEN	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Not</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-29 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examinet 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 June 2007 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	rn from consideration. relection requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/27/06 & 04/05/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones (US Pub. 2003/0196871).

It is to be noted that since the step of slicing is not positively claimed, claim 18 is considered claiming slowing down and piling food products.

Regarding claim 18, Jones teaches a method for producing a food production characterized in that the food production slices are slowed down and piled up (in conveyor 2) such that the spacing between the food product slices is reduced. See Fig. 2A.

Regarding claim 20, see Fig. 2A.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,616

Art Unit: 3724

2A.

4. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones (US

Page 3

Pub. 2003/0196871) in view of Wiley et al. (3,855,889), hereinafter Wiley.

Regarding claim 18, Jones teaches a method for producing a food production characterized in that the food production slices are slowed down and piled up (in conveyor 2) such that the spacing between the food product slices is reduced. See Fig.

Jones does not teach a plurality of food product slices cut of from a block of cheese.

Wiley teaches a method for producing a food production, a plurality of food product slices 130 cut of from a block of cheese 200. See Fig. 3.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the step of slicing a cheese block as taught to Wiley to the method of slicing food product of Jones for making cheese slices

Regarding claim 19, Jones teaches the invention substantially as claimed except for the step of folding the food product slices.

Wiley teaches the step of folding food product slices. See Fig. 3.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the step of folding the food product slices into the Jones' method for folding the food product slices.

Regarding claim 20, see Fig. 2A.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,616 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-4510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/P. H. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 3724 December 18, 2008